

**PCT**WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau

## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :</b> <b>A61K 47/48</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 98/32466</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 30 July 1998 (30.07.98)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/GB98/00253 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 28 January 1998 (28.01.98)  <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> 9701800.6 29 January 1997 (29.01.97) GB 9701804.8 29 January 1997 (29.01.97) GB 9704653.6 6 March 1997 (06.03.97) GB 9708055.0 22 April 1997 (22.04.97) GB  <b>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US):</b> POLYMASC PHARMACEUTICALS PLC [GB/GB]; Fleet Road, London NW3 2EZ (GB).  <b>(72) Inventors; and</b> <b>(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only):</b> FRANCIS, Gillian, Elizabeth [GB/GB]; Summer Cottage, Cane End, Reading, Berkshire RG4 9GH (GB). FISHER, Derek [GB/GB]; 34 Corinium Gate, St. Albans, Hertfordshire AL3 4HY (GB). MALIK, Farooq [GB/GB]; 67 Gracefield Gardens, Streatham, London SW16 2TS (GB).  <b>(74) Agent:</b> NACHSHEN, Neil, Jacob, D. Young & Co., 21 New Fetter Lane, London EC4A 1DA (GB).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, GW, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> PEGYLATION PROCESS  <b>(57) Abstract</b>  The present invention relates to the attachment of a polyethylene glycol (PEG) moiety to a target substrate. Processes for such attachment will be hereinafter referred to as "PEGylation" of the substrate. In particular, the present invention relates to a process for direct covalent PEGylation of a substrate, comprising the reaction of a halogenated PEG with the substrate wherein the halogen of the halogenated PEG acts as a leaving group in the PEGylation reaction.		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

### PEGYLATION PROCESS

5

The present invention relates to the attachment of a polyethylene glycol (PEG) moiety to a target substrate. Processes for such attachment will be hereinafter referred to as "PEGylation" of the substrate. In particular, the present invention relates to a process for direct covalent PEGylation of a substrate, comprising the reaction of a halogenated PEG with the substrate wherein the halogen of the halogenated PEG acts as a leaving group in the PEGylation reaction.

Covalent attachment of PEG to molecules such as proteins or structures such as liposomes is well known to improve their pharmacological and physiological properties.

EP-A-354855 describes a liposome which comprises a PEG-bound phospholipid wherein the PEG moiety is bonded to a phospholipid present in the liposome membrane. This is claimed to provide a reduction in the absorption of proteins to the liposome *in vivo* and hence an increase in its *in vivo* stability.

EP-A-154316 describes a method for chemically modifying lymphokines by attachment of a PEG moiety wherein the PEG is bonded to at least one primary amino group of the lymphokine. This is claimed to result in the delayed clearance of lymphokines when used as drugs and to decrease their antigenicity.

There are many methods for achieving covalent coupling of PEG to substrates. All such methods require the activation of the PEG by attachment of a group usually referred to as an "activating moiety" or by converting a terminal moiety of the PEG into an activating moiety. This is followed by a second step where the PEG couples to the target molecule, usually via a residual portion of the activating moiety which may be referred to as the "coupling moiety".

Examples of known techniques include:

Succinimidyl Active Ester Methods: see e.g. US Patent 4,412,989; WO 86/04145; WO 87/00056; EP-A-0 247 860, C. Monfardini, O. Shnavon, P. Caliceti, M. Morpurgo, J. M. Harris, and F. M. Veronese, "A branched monomethoxypoly(ethylene glycol) for protein modification," Bioconjugate Chem., 6,62-69 (1995), Zalipsky, S. et al. (1991) in "Polymeric Drugs and Drug Delivery Systems" (R. L. Dunn & R. M. Ottenbrite, eds.) ACS, Washington, DC, Chapter 10, Zalipsky, S. et al. (1992) Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem. 15:100, Chiu, H.-C. et al. (1993) Bioconjugate Chem. 4:290, Sirokman, G. & Fasman, G. (1993) Protein Sci. 2:1161, Veronese, F. M. et al (1989) J. Controlled Release 10:145, Abuchowski, A. et al (1984) Cancer Biochem. Biophys. 7:175, Joppich, M. & Luisi, P.L. (1979) Macromol. Chem. 180:1381, Klivanov, A. L. et al (1990) FEBS Letters 268:235, Sartore, L. et al (1991) Appl. Biochem. Biotech. 31:213

Carbonyldiimidazole Method: see e.g. EP-A-0 154 432.

Phenylchloroformate Methods: see e.g. WO 89/06546 and WO 90/15628.

5 PEG-Succinate Mixed Anhydride Methods: see e.g. Ahlstedt et al (1983) Int. Arch. Allergy Appl. Immunol., 71,228-232; Richter and Akerblom (1983) Int. Arch. Allergy Appl. Immunol, 70, 124-131;

Organic Sulphonyl Halide Methods: see e.g. US Patent 4,415,665.

10 PEG-Maleimide and Related Methods: see e.g. Goodson & Katre (1990) Biotechnology, 8, 343-346.

Phenylglyoxal Method: see e.g. EP-A-0 340 741

15 Succinimide Carbonate Method: see e.g. WO 90/13540; WO 91/07190

Cyanogen Bromide Method: see USP 4,301,144

20 Poly-PEG Maleic Acid Anhydride Method: Yoshimoto et al (1987) Biochem. and Biophy. Res. Commun. 148, 876-882.

25 Cyanuric chloride method: Abuchowski, A. van Es, T., Palczuk, N.C., & David, F.F. (1977). Alteration of immunological properties of bovine serum albumin by covalent attachment of polyethylene glycol. J. Biol. Chem., 252, 3578-3581.

PEG acetaldehyde methods: Royer, G.P. US 4,002,531 EP-A-0154316. Harris, J. M., Yoshinaga, K. Paley, M.S., & Herati, M. R.

(1989). New activated PEG derivatives for affinity partitioning. In D. Fisher & I.A. Sutherland (Eds) Separations Using Aqueous Phase Systems. Applications in Cell Biology and Biotechnology (pp. 203-210). London Plenum Press.

5

Amine acylation methods (both PEG-COOH and PEG-NH<sub>2</sub>): see e.g. EP0072111 and EP 0401384.

10

Vinylsulfone method: M. Morpurgo, F. M. Veronese, D. Kachensky and J. M. Harris, J. Bioconj. Chem., 7, 363-368 (1996).

PEG epoxide methods: Elling, L. & Kula, M-R. (1991) Biotech. Appl. Biochem. 13,354.

15

PEG isocyanate method: R. B. Greenwald. A. Pendri and D. Bolikal, J. Org. Chem., 60, 331-336 (1995).

20

PEG orthopyridyl-disulphide: C. Woghiren, B. Sharma and S. Stein, Bioconj. Chem., 4,314 (1993).

25

PEG-propionaldehyde: Harris, J.M., Dust, J.M., McGill, Harris, P.A., Edgell, M.J., Sedaghat-Herati, R.M., Karr, L.J., & Donnelly, D.L. (1991). New polyethylene glycols for biomedical applications. Chapter 27 in S.W. Shalaby, C. L. McCormick, & G. B. Butler (Eds.), Water-Soluble Polymers Washington D.C.: American Chemical Society.

These methods suffer from one or more of the following defects:  
Substantial loss of biological activity (e.g. 20-95% loss of bio-activity) is frequently seen with the cyanuric chloride method:

- 5 Savoca KV, Abuchowski A, van Es T, Davis FF, Palczuk NC (1979),  
Biochem Biophys Acta 578: 47-53, Ashihara Y, Kono T, Yamazaki S, Inada  
Y (1978) Biochem Biophys Res Commun 83:385-391, Kamisaki Y, Wada H,  
Yagura T, Matsushima A, Inada Y (1981) J Pharmacol Exp Ther 216: 410-  
414, Wiedner K. J. Palczuk NC, van Es T, Davis F F (1979) J Biol Chem  
10 254:12579-12587, Nishimura H, Matsushima A, Inada Y (1981) Enzyme  
26:49-53 and Pyatak PS, Abuchowski A, Davis FF (1980) Res Commun  
Chem Pathol Pharmacol 29:113-127

The coupling of PEG (or other polymers) to proteins (or other target  
15 molecules) is, with few exceptions, in a manner which leaves part of the  
activating moiety, a coupling moiety, between the PEG and the target  
molecule. Of the above methods, only the organic sulphonyl halide methods  
and PEG-acetaldehyde methods disclosed in Royer US 4002531 (1977) and  
Harris (1989, *ibid*) couple PEG directly without coupling moieties i.e. to  
20 produce a "linkerless" PEGylated product. With the exception of some other  
PEG acetaldehyde methods where the coupling moiety is ethylene oxide (and  
thus indistinguishable from PEG itself) and the direct coupling methods above,  
all other coupling methods incorporate a coupling moiety distinct from the  
polymer and the target and are thus regarded as "indirect" coupling methods.

25

The incorporation of a coupling moiety generates further problems  
depending on the nature of the coupling moiety, thus

- (i) some coupling moieties provide targets for enzymatic cleavage or hydrolysis (see below);
- 5 (ii) some coupling moieties provide an immunogenic/antigenic group (e.g. the triazine ring of the cyanuric chloride method or the succinyl group of the succinimidyl succinate method and PEG succinate mixed anhydride method);
- 10 (iii) some coupling moieties are potentially toxic or are themselves of unknown toxicity but derived from a compound known to be toxic (e.g. the triazine ring of the cyanuric chloride method and reagents in the phenylchloroformate method); and
- 15 (iv) some coupling moieties provide reactive groups capable of linking further molecules to the PEG-target construct via the coupling moiety (e.g. the triazine ring of the cyanuric chloride method, Leonard, M. et al., Tetrahedron, 40: 1585 (1984)) and,
- 20 (v) Some coupling groups alter surface charge at the site of attachment of the polymer.
- 25 Coupling in some instances is thus via an unstable bond liable to be cleaved by enzymes present in serum, plasma, cells or other biological materials or by procedures applied to the PEG-target product. This has two possible deleterious consequences,



- (i) the PEG-target construct is degraded enzymatically or by the conditions required for subsequent reaction steps; the former occurs particularly with methods generating ester bonds and probably also with amide bonds; and
- 5 (ii) removal of the PEG moiety alters the target molecule; this occurs with some succinimidyl active ester and mixed anhydride methods,
- 10 and either or both of these can occur.

Many of the above methods recommend long coupling times and/or a non physiological pH for the PEGylation reaction, thus rendering some target molecules less active or inactive (cf. the cyanuric chloride, phenylchloroformate, acetaldehyde and propionaldehyde methods).

15

Many of these methods use activated PEG species and/or produce co-products which are toxic in a wide range of bioassays and which are potentially toxic in vivo if not separated from the product (e.g. the phenylchloroformate, cyanuric chloride methods).

20

Some methods are unsuitable for use in aqueous solution, thus limiting the target molecules to those which will tolerate non-aqueous conditions (cf. the organic sulphonyl halide method using trifluoromethanesulphonyl chloride).

25

Some of the activated PEG-target constructs are unstable, for instance being subject to hydrolysis during either the activation or coupling reactions (cf. the phenylchloroformate method). For example, PEG acetaldehyde is

sensitive to decomposition under basic conditions and can give inconsistent results.

Ouchi T., et al [(1987) J. Macromol. Sci. Chem. A24 1011-1032]  
5 discusses the PEGylation of 5-fluorouracil with various methoxy-PEG derivatives to generate methoxy-PEG ether, ester or amide-linked constructs. The preparation of methoxy-PEG-ether-5-fluorouracil from a methoxy-PEG-Br derivative in chlorobenzene using tetra-n-butylammonium bromide as a phase-transfer catalyst is described. None of the methoxy-PEG-ether-5-  
10 fluorouracil derivatives thus produced showed bioactivity (i.e. anti-tumour activity).

Zheng Hu et al (1987) Acta Pharmaceutical Sinica, 22 (8) 637 - 640  
discusses the synthesis of PEG-estrogen compounds from chlorinated  
15 polyethylene in non-aqueous solvents using the Williamson reaction.

Probably the most advantageous PEGylation method employed hitherto is the TMPEG method, mentioned in WOA-90/04606, which comprises activation of monomethoxy PEG ("MPEG") with 2,2,2-  
20 trifluoroethanesulphonyl chloride (tresyl chloride) to produce tresyl MPEG ("TMPEG") which is subsequently reacted with a target protein molecule to produce monomethoxy PEGylated products. At physiological pH the TMPEG method is a "direct" coupling method in that the PEG moiety is coupled directly to the target substrate without a coupling or linker moiety. A similar  
25 technique is described in WO 90/04650 for coupling monomethoxy PEG moieties to DNA/protein complexes.


It is also known that the use of TMPEG as the activated PEG for use in PEGylation can, particularly at very high pH, result in the elimination of

HF by an alternate pathway. This alternative elimination pathway may occur for example when reacting TMPEG with a protein and involves the elimination of HF which converts TMPEG into an intermediate alkene followed by hydration and further elimination of HF to create the acyl fluoride  
5 which is converted to the  $\alpha$ -sulphonate acid via further hydrolysis. The alkene and acyl fluoride MPEG derivatives can react with target molecules to form a sulphonate amide derivative.

It is clearly desirable to develop a functionalised PEG which is simple  
10 and cheap to prepare, which can be used to PEGylate a wide range of potential substrates, which generates a linkerless or directly coupled PEGylated substrate, is capable of pegylating a substrate in both aqueous and non-aqueous solvents and which does not result in any of the undesirable side effects listed above. It is also desirable to have a PEGylation process which functions  
15 rapidly under physiological conditions since this is critical for retention of biological activity in the PEGylation of many proteins.

Hence there is provided according to the present invention a process for the PEGylation of a substrate comprising the reaction of a halogenated  
20 PEG with the substrate wherein the halogen of the halogenated PEG acts as a leaving group and the PEG is bound directly to the substrate.

In a preferred embodiment of the present invention the halogenated PEG for use in the process of the invention is of general formula I or general  
25 formula II.



wherein:

In formula I PEG is a bivalent group of formula  $-(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_m\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2-$ , where m is equal or greater than 1, derived from a polyethylene glycol;

5 X is a halogen atom, a blocking group or an activating group capable of coupling the PEG moiety to another moiety; Y is a halogen; n represents the number of PEG termini and n is equal or greater than 2; i is equal or less than n; and i/n PEG termini are substituted by Y in compounds of formula II.

10 Halogenated PEGs of Formula I may be monofunctional, homobifunctional, or heterobifunctional activated PEGs i.e. a halogenated PEG of formula I may have two terminal halogens (X and Y are halogens which may be the same or different), or when only one terminal halogen is present the other terminal group X may be either a blocking group or an  
15 activating group. Halogenated PEGs of Formula II may be of branched, cruciform or stellate structure. In preferred embodiments of the present invention, X is a blocking group selected from methyl, t-butyl and benzyl ethers.

20 In further preferred embodiments of the present invention, X is an activating group having an atom that is susceptible to nucleophilic attack or is capable of rendering the terminal carbon atom of the PEG susceptible to nucleophilic attack or equivalent alternative substitution and is preferably a sulphonate ester, a substituted triazine, a N-hydroxysuccinimide active ester, an  
25 anhydride, a substituted phenyl carbonate, oxycarbonylimidazole, a maleimide, an aldehyde, a glyoxal, carboxylate, a vinyl sulphone, an epoxide, an isocyanate, a disulphide, an acrylate, an allyl ether, a silane or a cyanate ester. More preferably X is an activating group selected from

- 2,2,2-trifluoroethanesulphonate,  
pentafluorobenzenesulphonate,  
fluorosulphonate,  
2,4,5-trifluorobenzenesulphonate,  
5 2,4-difluorobenzenesulphonate,  
2-chloro-4-fluorobenzenesulphonate,  
3-chloro-4-fluorobenzenesulphonate,  
4-amino-3-chlorobenzenesulphonate,  
4-amino-3-fluorobenzenesulphonate,  
10 o-trifluoromethylbenzenesulphonate,  
m-trifluoromethylbenzenesulphonate,  
p-trifluoromethylbenzenesulphonate,  
2-trifluoromethoxybenzenesulphonate,  
4-trifluoromethoxybenzenesulphonate,  
15 5-fluoro-2-methylbenzenesulphonate,  
4,6-dichlorotriazine,  
6-chlorotriazine,  
N-hydroxysuccinimidyl succinate,  
N-hydroxysuccinimidyl glutarate,  
20 N-hydroxysuccinimidyl succinamide,  
N-hydroxysuccinimidylalkanedioicamides,  
N-hydroxysuccinimidyl derivatives of carboxymethylated polymers,  
succinimidylcarbonate,  
N-hydroxysuccinimidyl esters of amino acids,  
25 succinate mixed anhydride,  
succinic anhydride,  
trichlorophenyl carbonate,  
nitrophenyl carbonate,  
maleimide,

N-substituted maleimide,  
acetaldehyde,  
propionaldehyde and chemically equivalent sulphur analogues,  
glyoxal,  
5 phenylglyoxal,  
acrylate,  
methacrylate.

Preferred halogens for the groups X and Y include chlorine, bromine  
10 and iodine. Chlorine is most preferred.

In the most preferred embodiments of the present invention, the  
halogenated PEG is one of

- 15 - monomethoxy PEG-Cl
- monomethoxy PEG-Br
- monomethoxy PEG-I
- Cl-PEG-Cl
- Br-PEG-Br
- 20 - I-PEG-I

Although some halogenated PEGs are known compounds, it is  
particularly surprising that they have utility as PEG derivatives suitable for  
direct use in a PEGylation reaction. It was previously believed that halogens  
25 would be of vastly inferior reactivity to known leaving groups such as tosylate  
or tresylate. For example McMurry J. in "Organic Chemistry" 4th Ed. (1996)  
cites chlorine as having 300 times less reactivity than tosylate as a leaving  
group. When considering that tresylate is described as having 100 fold greater  
reactivity than tosylate (March J. Advanced Organic Chemistry Reactions,

Mechanisms and Structure, 4th Ed. [1992]), it may be concluded that chlorine would be expected to have 30,000 fold less reactivity than tresylate.

Halogenated PEGs may be synthesised by methods well known in the art. PEG may be synthesised or purchased commercially and then derivatised with halogen, activating groups or blocking groups, as required, using methods disclosed in e.g. Bayer E. et al, Polymer Bulletin 8, 585 - 592 (1982); Zalipsky, S et al, Eur. Polym. J. Vol 19 No. 12 pp 1177-1183 (1983); Buckmann A. F., Morr. M and Johansson G., Makromol. Chem. 182, 1379-1384 (1981); Harris, J.M. J. Macromol. Sci., Rev. Polym. Chem. Phys. C25(3) 325-373 (1985); Harris, J.M., Struck, E. C., Case, M. G., et al. J. Poly. Sci, Poly. Chem. Ed. 22, 341-352 (1984); Zalipsky, S. & Lee, C. in Poly(Ethylene Glycol) Chemistry: Biotechnical and Biomedical applications (ed Harris, J. M.) 347-370 (Plenum Press, New York, 1992); and as reviewed in Zalipsky S. Bioconjugate Chem. (1995) 6 150-165 where MPEG-Cl was used to prepare activated PEGs which were subsequently linked to substrates.

Multi-halogenated PEGs can be constructed using either naturally branched PEGs, such as the cruciform PEG found in some preparations of high molecular weight PEGs, or from proprietary multibranched PEGs known as "star" PEGs. Derivatisation of the free PEG termini with halogen is achieved as for halogenated PEGs above.

, Reaction conditions for the process of the present invention will clearly depend upon the nature of X, Y and of the substrate.

As indicated above, the PEG moieties of the halogenated PEG's used in accordance with the invention, may desirably be derived from commercially available PEGs. These materials are generally characterised by their number

and weight average molecular weight. For example, PEG-5000 is a polyethylene glycol having a number average molecular weight of about 5000. The size of the PEG moiety to be attached to the target substrate will usually be chosen according to the nature of the substrate and how its properties are desired to be modified by the attachment of the PEG moiety. For example, if the target substrate is a liposome for administration to an animal and it is desired to increase the circulation half life of the liposome after administration, a PEG of molecular weight 1000 to 5000 may be selected. It should be noted, however, that the process of the present invention is generally applicable to the attachment of PEG moieties of any size to target substrates.

PEGylated substrates generated according to the present invention particularly include those which do not lose their bioactivity relative to the unPEGylated substrate. Thus PEGylation according to the present invention may maintain or increase the specific activity of a substrate or it may increase the *in vivo* half-life of a substrate which has had its specific activity decreased, maintained or increased by PEGylation. Additionally PEGylation according to the present invention may differentially modify the specific activity of pleiotropic substrates such as certain proteins.

The term "substrate" as used herein is intended to include any molecule, macromolecule or structure which is capable of being covalently attached to a PEG moiety and which thereby may have its chemical, biological, physiological or physical properties modified. It is not intended to encompass molecules which when reacted with halogenated PEG merely produce a further activated PEG derivative which is to be used as an intermediate to couple the PEG moiety to another substrate. The substrate is not a steroid.



Suitable substrates to which PEG can be attached in accordance with the present invention include materials having biological activity which are useful in, for instance diagnosis or therapy and which are all well known to those skilled in the art. They all contain at least one group capable of reacting  
5 with the halogenated PEG. Examples of such reactive groups include primary, secondary and tertiary amino groups, thiol groups and aromatic hydroxy groups.

More specifically, substrates for use according to the present invention  
10 include proteins, peptides, amino acids and their derivatives such as: antibodies and fragments thereof; cytokines and derivatives or fragments thereof, for example, the interleukins (IL) and especially the IL-1, IL-2, IL-3, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-7, IL-8, IL-9, IL-10, IL-11 and IL-12 subtypes thereof; colony stimulating factors, for example granulocyte-macrophage colony  
15 stimulating factor, granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (alpha and beta forms), macrophage colony stimulating factor (also known as CSF-1); haemopoietins, for example erythropoietin, haemopoietin-alpha and kit-ligand (also known as stem cell factor or Steel factor); interferons (IFNs), for example IFNalpha, IFNbeta and IFNgamma; growth factors and bifunctional  
20 growth modulators, for example epidermal growth factor, platelet derived growth factor, transforming growth factor (alpha and beta forms), amphiregulin, somatomedin-C, bone growth factor, fibroblast growth factors, insulin-like growth factors, heparin binding growth factors and tumour growth factors; differentiation factors and the like, for example macrophage  
25 differentiating factor, differentiation inducing factor (DIF) and leukaemia inhibitory factor; activating factors, for example platelet activating factor and macrophage activation factor; coagulation factors such as fibrinolytic/anticoagulant agents including heparin and proteases and their pro-factors, for example clotting factors VII, VIII, IX, X, XI and XII,

antithrombin III, protein C, protein S, streptokinase, urokinase, prourokinase, tissue plasminogen activator, fibrinogen and hirudin; peptide hormones, for example insulin, growth hormone, gonadotrophins, follicle stimulating hormone, leutenising hormone, growth hormone releasing hormone and  
5 calcitonin; enzymes such as superoxide dismutase, glucocerebrosidase, asparaginase and adenosine deaminase; vaccines, for example hepatitis-B vaccine, malaria vaccine, melanoma vaccine and HIV-1 vaccine; transcription factors and transcriptional modulators; carbohydrates, glycosoaminoglycans, glycoproteins and polysaccharides; lipids, for example phosphatidyl-  
10 ethanolamine, phosphatidylserine and derivatives thereof; sphingosine; and derivatives thereof; nucleotides, nucleosides, heterocyclic bases, DNA, RNA, synthetic and non-synthetic oligonucleotides including those with nuclease resistant backbones; vitamins; antibiotics including lantibiotics; bacteristatic and bactericidal agents; antifungal, anthelmintic and other agents effective  
15 against infective agents including unicellular pathogens; small effector molecules such as noradrenalin, alpha adrenergic receptor ligands, dopamine receptor ligands, histamine receptor ligands, GABA/benzodiazepine receptor ligands, serotonin receptor ligands, leukotrienes and triodothyronine; cytotoxic agents such as doxorubicin, methotrexate and derivatives thereof.

20

The substrate may also be part of a larger multi-molecular structure. These include cells or parts thereof, for instance erythrocytes, erythrocyte "ghosts" and leukocytes, viruses, unicellular organisms, liposomes such as multilamellar vesicles and unilamellar vesicles, micelles and micelle-like  
25 structures, and aggregates, microemulsions, coacervates, emulsions and suspensions of the foregoing. The substrate may also be a surface on a device such as a catheter, stent, contact lens or artificial valve.

It will be appreciated that when the substrate is part of such a structure there will generally be many reactive groups in each structure; treatment according to the invention may therefore produce a structure bearing many PEG moieties. When the PEG is bi- or multi-valent, reaction with a multimolecular substrate may result in intermolecular cross-linking by the PEG between molecules of the same target structure and/or between molecules of different target structures as well as intramolecular bonding of the PEG to more than one position on the same molecule of a target structure.

Substrates lacking a reactive group may be modified so as to create one or more reactive groups; this is within the ability of those skilled in the art and can be achieved by well-known techniques.

Some substrates (e.g. RNA and single stranded DNA) pose special problems because they may provide too many reactive groups to which the PEG would attach in a standard reaction. Therefore, if desired, some groups may be temporarily protected by involvement in an appropriate conformation precluding nucleophilic attack on the halogenated PEG, as for example by the hydrogen bonding associated with base pairing of DNA (see below).

The term "blocking group" as used herein is intended to imply a moiety which when covalently bound to a PEG terminus, is capable of preventing the attachment of an activating group to that terminus during the activation process.

An embodiment of the present process involves site-specific modification of DNA, RNA and synthetic oligonucleotide targets (or of any molecule containing an amino or other reactive group which can participate in interactions such as hydrogen bonding with another molecule or compound)

by precluding nucleophilic attack on the halogenated PEG species by reactive groups on the target. The bases adenine (A), cytosine (C) and guanine (G) [but not uracil (U) or thymine (T)] provide suitable targets in DNA, RNA and synthetic oligonucleotides for modification with PEG moieties according to the invention and thus these are special targets with the problem that there may be too many available reactive groups to which the polymer can be attached. By using various restriction fragment DNA cleavage sites as a model system, selected bases A, C or G can be modified by the expedient of leaving short stretches (e.g. 2-4 bases) single stranded. Adenine bases appear to be the most susceptible to such modification. Blunt ended double stranded DNA is not readily coupled under the conditions described, indicating that hydrogen bonding between base pairs is sufficient to preclude interaction of the amino groups of A, C and G bases with the activated PEG.

Site-specific DNA modification by polymer can be achieved by the expedient of including one or more A, C or G bases in a short single stranded section of DNA by appropriate restriction enzyme digestion or by hybridising oligonucleotides of dissimilar lengths with the DNA to protect bases which are not to be modified or by exploiting the natural strand asymmetry of polymerise chain reaction products which have a one base-pair overhand, or by exploiting localised regions of single strandedness achieved by natural or artificial localised melting of the double helix. The reaction of methoxyPEGBr with 5-FU is not included within the present invention.

Also provided according to the present invention are products produced by a process of the present invention; the use of such products in medical therapy; pharmaceutical formulations comprising products of the present invention; the use of a product or a pharmaceutical formulation of the present invention in the manufacture of a medicament for use in medical

therapy; and methods of medical therapy comprising the administration of a product or a pharmaceutical formulation of the present invention, to a patient.

The term "medical therapy" as used herein includes therapeutic,  
5 diagnostic and prophylactic regimes.

Non-limiting examples of the invention will now be described with reference to the accompanying Figures, which show:-

10 **FIGURE 1** Reverse phase liquid chromatography elution profiles of a) MPEG-Cl; b) MPEG and c) a mixture of MPEG-Cl and MPEG.

15 **FIGURE 2** a) A representative elution profile of the reaction products of the PEGylation of lysozyme using MPEG-Cl, separated on a Superose 12 column.  
b) A further example of a similar experiment to that shown in Figure 2a

20 **FIGURE 3** A representative elution profile of reaction products of the PEGylation of lysozyme using TMPEG, separated on a Superose 12 column.

25 **FIGURE 4** Elution profiles on Superose 12 of reaction products of PEGylation of lysozyme by MPEG-Cl at alkaline pH.

**FIGURE 5** Elution profiles on Superose 12 of reaction products of PEGylation of lysozyme by MPEG-Cl at a) 4°C for 21min and b) 4°C for 72.5h.

**FIGURE 6** Elution profiles on Superose 12 of reaction products of PEGylation of lysozyme using TMPEG at 3°C, 15.5°C and 22.5°C.

5

**FIGURE 7** Reverse phase liquid chromatography of polymer species (MPEG, MPEG-Cl and TMPEG in peaks 1-3 respectively) formed using the procedure set out in example 5.

10 **FIGURE 8** The elution profile from a Superose 12 column (using a computerised FPLC) of the reaction products of the PEGylation of lysozyme using MPEG-Cl produced by the method of example 5.

15 **FIGURE 9** The elution profile from a Superose 12 column (using a computerised FPLC) when the amount of TMPEG is equivalent to 10% of the total activated polymer used in Figure 8 above (i.e. similar to the level of contaminating TMPEG in the sample used for PEGylation in Figure 8).

20

**FIGURE 10** The elution profile from a Superose 12 column (using a computerised FPLC) of the reaction products of the PEGylation reaction of lysozyme described in Example 6; i.e. using MPEG-Cl, produced by the method of Example 5, from which traces of TMPEG had been removed by prolonged hydrolysis as set out in Example 6.

25

**FIGURE 11** Dose response curves of PEGylated GM-CSF produced using MPEG-Cl synthesised as set out in Example 5 and sham-treated

controls exposed to MPEG. The results shown in panels a, b and c are from three independent experiments.

5 **FIGURE 12** Dose response curves of PEGylated EPO produced using MPEG-Cl synthesised as set out in Example 1 and a sham-treated control exposed to MPEG.

10 **FIGURE 13** Dose response curves of PEGylated EPO produced using MPEG-Cl synthesised as set out in Example 5 and sham treated controls exposed to MPEG. Panels a-c show three independent experiments.

15 **FIGURE 14** Acid elimination by MPEG-Cl at pH 7 and Fluoride measurements (for comparison with Figure 15).

**FIGURE 15** Fluoride and acid elimination by TMPEG at pH 7: a) TMPEG produced by the method of [WO 95/06058]; b,c) TMPEG produced by two alternate manufacturing procedures.

20 **FIGURE 16** Acid elimination for MPEG-Cl at pH 9 and Fluoride measurements for comparison with Figure 17.

**FIGURE 17** Fluoride and acid elimination for TMPEG at pH 9.

25

## EXAMPLES

### EXAMPLE 1 Preparation and characterisation of MPEG chloride

MPEG-chloride was synthesised as described by a modification of the method of *Bayer et al (1982)*. Methoxypolyethylene glycol (Molecular weight 5000, Shearwater Polymers Inc) (5g) was refluxed on an oil bath with twice re-distilled thionyl chloride (10ml) for 15h under nitrogen. The thionyl chloride  
5 was removed by distillation. 5ml of dry toluene (molecular sieve, 3A, BDH) was added and distilled off. 5ml of dry dichloromethane (molecular sieve, 3A, BDH) was then added and distilled off. The residue was dissolved in 20 ml of dry dichloromethane and 200ml of dry ether was added at room temperature and the mixture stirred in an ice bath. After storage overnight at -20°C, the white solid  
10 was removed by filtration, redissolved in 20 ml of dry dichloromethane and reprecipitated with 200ml of dry ether. The precipitate was removed by filtration and dried in vacuo (*Yield 3.8 g*).

The product showed a single peak on reverse phase liquid  
15 chromatography that was distinct from the single peak shown by the MPEG starting material (Figures 1a-c), indicating complete derivatisation of the starting MPEG to MPEG-Cl.

All the samples were analysed as 0.2% w/v solutions in 30%  
20 CH<sub>3</sub>CN/70%H<sub>2</sub>O on a reverse phase column PLRP-S 100A 5μ from Polymer Laboratories, using 30 to 100% CH<sub>3</sub>CN gradient. The elution conditions were as follows: using a flow rate of 0.5ml/minute, 30-50% CH<sub>3</sub>CN over 20 minutes, then 50-100% CH<sub>3</sub>CN over 2 minutes, held at 100% CH<sub>3</sub>CN for 3 minutes under isocratic conditions, reverted back to 30% CH<sub>3</sub>CN over 1 minute, and  
25 finally held at 30% CH<sub>3</sub>CN for 5 minutes. The sample was injected via a 20μl loading loop. An evaporative Mass Detector (PL-EMD 960; Polymer Laboratories) at 85°C with gas flow at 5.5litres/minute, was used to monitor the samples.



Figure 1a shows a typical elution profile of MPEG-Cl. A major peak is seen eluting with a retention time at 16.3 min (range 15.9-16.3 min in three experiments). Figure 1b shows a typical elution profile of MPEG-5K, the starting material for preparation of MPEG-Cl). A single peak is seen eluting with a retention time of circa 13.7 min (range 13.0-13.7 in five experiments). Figure 1c shows the elution profile of a mixture of the MPEG-Cl and MPEG-5K samples, by pooling equal volumes of MPEG-Cl and MPEG-5K used to produce the profiles in Figures 1a and 1b above. Two well resolved peaks are seen with retention times corresponding closely to those obtained in Figures 1a and 1b.

<sup>1</sup>H nmr of the MPEG-Cl in d<sub>6</sub>-DMSO showed an absence of -OH signal (which is typically seen around at 4.56ppm for MPEG in DMSO). A complex multiplet centred around 3.7 ppm was consistent with literature values for O-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-Cl.

#### Example 2 PEGylation of lysozyme

51.25mg of activated MPEG-Cl as prepared in (Example 1) was reacted with 0.466ml of 1mg/ml lysozyme (Fluka) in phosphate buffer (20mM, pH 7.0) for 21 minutes at 27°C. The initial polymer concentration was 110 mg/ml. 100ul of the reaction mixture was diluted with 400ul of PBS buffer and then 200ul was loaded onto a Superose 12 column fitted to a computerised FPLC system from Pharmacia (Sweden). The column was eluted with 50ml of PBS buffer at a flow rate of 0.3ml/min with continuous UV monitoring (214nm) at the outlet. The sensitivity of the UV detector was set at 0.5 absorbance units. The unreacted lysozyme eluted at circa 19.52ml and the PEGylated lysozyme conjugates eluted at circa 10.79, 13.02, 14.94 (Figure 2a). The chromatogram indicates that significant reaction occurred between the MPEG-Cl and lysozyme under the

above conditions. This reactivity rate was reproduced in further independent experiments.

For example, 48.15mg of MPEG-Cl was reacted with 0.438ml of  
5 1mg/ml lysozyme (Fluka) in phosphate buffer (20mM, pH 7.0) for 21 minutes at  
28°C. The initial polymer concentration was 109 mg/mL. 100ul of the reaction  
mixture was diluted with 400ul of PBS buffer and then 200ul was loaded in a  
Superose 12 column fitted to a computerised FPLC system from Pharmacia  
(Sweden). The column was eluted as for the example above except that the  
10 sensitivity of the UV detector was set at 1.0 absorbance units. The unreacted  
lysozyme eluted at circa 19.65ml and the PEGylated lysozyme conjugates are  
eluted at circa 10.49, 12.70, 14.32, 15.17 (Figure 2b). Again, the chromatogram  
indicates that significant reaction occurs between the MPEG-Cl and lysozyme  
under the above conditions.

15

**Comparative example 2: PEGylation of lysozyme with tresyl monomethoxy  
PEG as the activated polymer**

68.3 mg of tresylated MPEG (TMPEG), prepared as previously  
20 described [WO 95/06058], was reacted with 0.580 mg of lysozyme in a total  
volume of 0.580 ml of 20mM phosphate buffer, pH 7, for 21 minutes at 23°C.  
An aliquot, (100ul) of the reaction mixture was diluted with 400ul of PBS and  
200ul was loaded, within a further 1 minute, onto a Superose 12 column fitted to  
a computerised FPLC system from Pharmacia (Sweden). The column is eluted  
25 with 25 ml of PBS at a flow rate of 0.3 ml/min with continuous UV monitoring  
(214 nm) at the outlet. The sensitivity of the UV detector was set at 0.2  
absorbance units. The unreacted lysozyme eluted at 19.40 ml and the PEGylated  
lysozyme conjugates are eluted at circa 12.05, 14.24 and 15.74 ml (Figure 3).  
From the profile it is evident that the PEGylation reaction is occurring at a

similar rate to that achieved with the MPEG-Cl sample in Example 2, which is surprising, given the anticipated low reactivity of the PEG-Cl.

**Example 3 PEGylation of lysozyme at alkaline pH.**

5

One disadvantage of the TMPEG method overcome by the present invention is that with the former, if the activated PEG is exposed to high pH, fluoride elimination occurs. This has two consequences: first the activated polymer is rapidly exhausted and, second, a proportion of the linkages made between the polymer and the target molecule will have an alternate linkage (a sulphonate amide linkage as opposed to a secondary amine linkage). This linkage alters surface charge; introduces a coupling moiety into the product and the coupling between the polymer and target molecule or structure is unstable, particularly at alkaline pH. MPEG-Cl does not break down as rapidly as TMPEG at alkaline pH (see Example 9 below).

15

50.0 mg of activated MPEG-Cl was reacted with 0.455 ml of 1mg/ml lysozyme (Fluka) in phosphate buffer (pH 8.66) for 21 minutes at 26°C. 100ul of the reaction mixture was diluted with 400ul of PBS buffer and then 200ul was loaded in a Superose 12 column and eluted as in Example 2. The chromatogram shows that one peak elutes at circa 17.03 ml and other peaks eluted at circa 12.64, 14.32 (largest) (Figure 4). There was no peak at the location of unmodified lysozyme (circa 19.2ml) indicating that the MPEG-Cl and lysozyme react significantly faster under the above conditions. It should be noted that there is no basis for the formation of an alternate linkage with the MPEG-Cl method.

20

25

**Example 4: PEGylation of lysozyme at 4°C**

One further disadvantage of the TMPEG method overcome by the present invention is that, with the former, if the activated PEG is used at low temperature, longer PEGylation times and/or higher polymer concentrations are required to achieve the same degree of PEGylation as achieved at room temperature. With TMPEG, however, the duration of the PEGylation reaction cannot be much prolonged since the activated polymer hydrolyses at a significant rate (see Example 9 below). The ability to PEGylate substrates at low temperatures can be of advantage with target molecules or structures that are unstable at higher temperatures. In addition, polymer concentrations can be lowered significantly if longer reaction times are feasible.

49.80mg of MPEG-Cl was reacted with 0.453mL of 1mg/ml lysozyme (Fluka) in phosphate buffer (20mM, pH 7.0) for 21 minutes at 4°C. The initial polymer concentration was 110 mg/mL. 100ul of the reaction mixture was diluted with 400ul of PBS buffer and then 200ul was loaded on a Superose 12 column and eluted as in Example 2. The unreacted lysozyme eluted at circa 19.55ml and the PEGylated lysozyme conjugates eluted at circa 10.61, 12.61, 14.28, 15.10 (Figure 5a). The chromatogram indicates that although some reaction has occurred between the MPEG-Cl and the lysozyme the proportion of unmodified material is higher than was observed with similar reactions carried out at room temperature (see Figures 2a and 2b).

However, this reaction could be prolonged until essentially all lysozyme had reacted. 47.89mg of MPEG-Cl was reacted with 0.435mL of 1mg/ml lysozyme (Fluka) in phosphate buffer for 72.5 hours at 4°C. As above, The initial lysozyme concentration was 110 mg/ml. 100ul of the reaction mixture was diluted with 400ul of PBS buffer and then 200ul was loaded in a Superose 12 column and eluted as in Example 2. The unreacted lysozyme was indistinguishable among three fragments at 16.91, 18.02 and 19.31. The

PEGylated lysozyme conjugates eluted at circa 12.72 and 14.86 (Figure 5b). The chromatogram indicates that almost complete reaction has occurred between MPEG-Cl and lysozyme.

5     **Comparative example 4: PEGylation using TMPEG at reduced temperature.**

Figure 6 shows the result of reaction of lysozyme with TMPEG at three different reaction temperatures.

10

135mg of TMPEG-12K was reacted with 0.5ml of 1mg/ml lysozyme (Fluka) in phosphate buffer for 21minutes. The reaction was performed three times at different temperatures: 3°C, 15.5°C and 22.5°C. 100ml of each reaction mixture was diluted with 400ul of PBS buffer and then 200ul was  
15     loaded on a Superose 12 column and eluted as in Example 2.

The estimated areas under the curve for the unmodified lysozyme peak were 52.5% at 3°C, 46.5% at 15.5°C and 23% at 22.5°C.

20     **Example 5: An additional synthetic route for MPEG-Cl**

MPEG-Cl is also produced by variation of the previously reported manufacturing procedure for TMPEG [WO 95/06058]. This product is exposed to more rigorous washing steps than MPEG-Cl derived from the  
25     thionyl chloride method and is included here because this may be the basis of the observed superior retention of bioactivity.

MPEG (Mr 5000; 18g; Shearwater Polymers Inc, USA) was dissolved in toluene (40ml) and the water-organic azeotrope was distilled off, followed

by the bulk of the toluene (109-110°C), obtaining about 35 ml of distillate. The remaining toluene was removed by rotary evaporation under reduced pressure.

5           The dried MPEG was dissolved in a dry acetonitrile (40ml; dried overnight with molecular sieve 3A (3Angstrom), BDH, UK, added at 10g per 50ml) at room temperature and then cooled in a water-ice bath to 1°C and magnetically stirred. One ml of ice-cold pyridine (BDH, UK) was added over 1 min with constant stirring. Tresyl chloride (1ml; Fluka AG, Switzerland)  
10           was then added drop-wise to the stirred solution over 5 min. The solution was then placed at room temperature and stirring was continued for a further 2 h. Acetonitrile was then removed under reduced pressure with occasional warming with a 70°C water bath.

15           The solid product was dissolved in methanol-HCl (300ml; prepared using 0.75ml conc HCl to 2.51 methanol) and cooled to -20°C overnight. The white precipitate was collected by centrifugation at 0°C and redissolved in 200ml of methanol-HCl. The solution was cooled in ice/salt for 30min and the precipitate isolated by centrifugation. To free the sample of pyridine, the  
20           process was repeated until the absorbance of the supernatant at 255nm was at a minimum. Typically 12 washes are required and the minimum absorbance (1cm path length) is 0.02, in this instance, the minimum was 0.04 and 14 washes were used without further improvement. The sample was then dissolved in methanol (200ml) and reprecipitated twice before being dried by  
25           rotary evaporation, and then overnight in a freeze dryer (yield 16g).

Analysis by reverse phase liquid chromatography was performed as in Example 1 using a Polymer Laboratories PLRP-6 column and a PL- EMD960 mass detector (Figure 7). The product contained negligible amounts of MPEG

( $<1\%$ , see peak at 15.0min) and of the activated PEG species 92.6% was MPEG-Cl (peak at 17.7min) and 7.4% was TMPEG (peak at 19.3min)  $^1\text{H}$ -nmr and  $^{19}\text{F}$ -nmr showed the sample to be substantially MPEG-Cl with some TMPEG. Elemental analysis detected chlorine 0.58% (theoretical chlorine content for 100% MPEG-Cl of molecular weight 5K is 0.7%)

#### Example 5A Preparation of PEG protein conjugates

70.4 mg of MPEG-Cl produced by the method of Example 5 were reacted with 0.640 mg of lysozyme in a total volume of 0.640 ml of 20mM phosphate buffer, pH 7, for 21 minutes at  $23^\circ\text{C}$ . An aliquot, (100ul) of the reaction mixture was diluted with 400ul of PBS and 200ul was loaded, within a further 3 minutes, onto a Superose 12 column fitted to a computerised FPLC system from Pharmacia (Sweden). The column was eluted with 25 ml of PBS at a flow rate of 0.3 ml/min with continuous UV monitoring (214 nm) at the outlet. The sensitivity of the UV detector was set at 0.2 absorbance units. The unreacted lysozyme is eluted at circa 19.28 ml and the PEGylated lysozyme conjugates eluted at circa 12.38, 14.38, 14.88 and 15.64 ml (Figure8).

20

Thus reaction with lysozyme (Figure 8) showed only a slightly lower reactivity than the MPEG-Cl prepared as in Example 1 and reacted with lysozyme in Figures 2a and b. That the reactivity was due to the MPEG-Cl and not the contaminating TMPEG was demonstrated in two ways: first by showing that an equivalent amount of TMPEG to that contaminating the MPEG-Cl preparation had a much lower reactivity (comparative Example 5 Figure 9), and second, that after prolonged hydrolysis sufficient to convert the residual TMPEG to MPEG the remaining MPEG-Cl still reacted (Example 6, Figure 10).

25

**Comparative example 5: PEGylation of lysozyme with tresyl monomethoxy PEG as the activated polymer**

6.8 mg of tresylated MPEG (92.2% purity, with 8.8% MPEG-C1 and negligible MPEG; assessed by reverse phase chromatography using a Polymer Laboratories PLRP-6 column and a PL-EMD960 mass detector) was reacted with 0.580 mg of lysozyme in a total volume of 0.580 ml of 20mM phosphate buffer, pH 7, for 21 minutes at 23<sup>0</sup>C. An aliquot, (100ul) of the reaction mixture was diluted with 400ul of PBS and 200ul was loaded, within a further 1 minute, onto a Superose 12 column fitted to a computerised FPLC system from Pharmacia (Sweden). The column is eluted with 25ml of PBS at a flow rate of 0.3 ml/min with continuous UV monitoring (214 nm) at the outlet. The sensitivity of the UV detector was set at 0.2 absorbance units. The amount of tresylated MPEG used in this reaction was reduced ten fold relative to the MPEG-C1 preparation used in example 5 (i.e. to an amount slightly more than the contaminating TMPEG present in Example 5), however much less PEGylation was observed (Figure 9) than that observed in the MPEG-C1 example (Figure 8), despite the TMPEG exposure being similar. Thus showing that the reaction in Example 5 was due to the MPEG-C1.

20

**Example 6: Preparation of PEG-protein conjugates after hydrolysis of residual TMPEG in the MPEG-C1 sample of Example 5.**

An aliquot of the MPEG-C1 sample (105mg) prepared in Example 5 was subjected to hydrolysis in water (525ul) for 17 days. After this the sample contained 82.4% MPEG-C1, 0% TMPEG and 17.6% MPEG (assessed by reverse phase chromatography using a Polymer Laboratories PLRP-6 column and a PL-EMD960 mass detector).

25



275 ul of this sample was reacted with 0.5mg of lysozyme in a total volume of 0.5 ml of 20mM phosphate buffer, pH7 for 21 minutes at 23°C. An aliquot (100ul) of the reaction mixture was diluted with 400ul of PBS and 200ul was loaded, within a further 1-2 minutes, onto a Superose 12 column  
5 fitted to a computerised FPLC system from Pharmacia (Sweden). The column was eluted with 25ml of PBS at a flow rate of 0.3 ml/min with continuous UV monitoring (214 nm) at the outlet. The sensitivity of the UV detector was set at 0.2 absorbance units. The unreacted lysozyme eluted at 18.75ml and the PEGylated lysozyme conjugates are eluted as a shouldered peak at circa  
10 14.33ml with two subsidiary shoulders evident at slightly higher elution volumes (Figure 10). From the profile it is evident that the PEGylation reaction is surprisingly, given the theoretically anticipated very low reactivity of MPEG-C1, still occurring at a significant rate despite the absence of any TMPEG and despite conversion of a proportion of the MPEG-C1 to MPEG.

15

#### **Example 7: PEGylation of GM-CSF and retention of bioactivity**

10 ul of GM-CSF (Hoescht) at 10 ug/ml in PBS were mixed with 15 ul of a solution of MPEG-C1 (produced by the method of Example 5) at circa 250  
20 mg/ml and 15 ul of sterile PBS (Gibco) in a sterile eppendorf tube. Sham treatment controls were set up with MPEG-5K obtained from Union Carbide. The reaction mixture was incubated using a rotary mixer for 2 h at room temperature. It has previously been established that, given the reactivity rate of the activated polymer, these reaction conditions produce a statistical mixture  
25 of PEGylated GM-CSF products with mainly 1-3 PEG chains per molecule and over 75% modification. 8 ul of reaction mixture were then added to 10 ml of RPMI-1640 medium (containing 10% of heat inactivated Foetal Calf Serum, Life Technologies) to obtain a solution of GM-CSF at 2 ng/ml. The bioactivity of the samples was tested in thymidine uptake assays using a GM-

CSF responsive cell line in 96 well microtiter plates (Nunc). The samples were diluted with fully supplemented RPMI-1640 corrected for PBS content (64 ul of sterile PBS were added to 80 ml of RPMI-1640) in order to obtain a range of concentrations of GM-CSF from 0.05 to 0.5 ng/ml. The 150 ul solution of GM-CSF in each well received  $5 \times 10^5$  TF-1 cells (starved for 24h, i.e. grown for 24h without addition of GM-CSF) and the plate was then incubated for 18h at 37°C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. The growth stimulation is then quantified using <sup>3</sup>H-Thymidine incorporation. [<sup>3</sup>H]-Thymidine stock (Amersham - TRK120 - batch: B395) was 100 fold diluted and 50 ul of this solution were added to each well. The plate was further incubated for 4h at 37°C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. The cells were harvested onto a glass filter (Wallac, size 90x120mm), the filter was dried for 2h at 75°C and the dried filter was transferred to a bag (Wallac, size 90x120mm) containing 5 ml of scintillation liquid (Wallac, Betaplate Scint.). the beta emission was quantified using a beta counter (Wallac, 1450 Microbeta plus). The data were background subtracted and CPM-background was plotted against GM-CSF concentration (ng/ml). This experiment was repeated 3 times (Figure 1 la-c). The incubation of GM-CSF with this batch of MPEG-Cl resulted in a conservation of bioactivity of 52.8% +/- 5.8%.

**Example 8 : PEGylation of Erythropoietin (EPO) and retention of bioactivity.**

5 ul of EPO (Cilag) at 3200 U/ml in PBS were mixed with 29 ul of an MPEG-Cl solution at circa 250 mg/ml and 61 ul of sterile PBS (Gibco) in a sterile eppendorf tube. The MPEG-Cl was produced by the method of Example 1. Control sham-treated samples were also prepared using MPEG (obtained from Shearwater Polymers Inc.). The reaction mixture was incubated

using a rotary mixer for 2 h at room temperature. 705 ul of RPMI-1640 medium (containing 10% of heat inactivated Foetal Calf Serum) (Life Technologies) were then added to 95 ul of reaction mixture to obtain a solution of EPO at 20 U/ml. The bioactivity of the samples was tested in a 96 wells microtiter plate (Nunc). The samples were diluted with fully supplemented RPMI-1640 corrected for PBS (9.5 ml of sterile PBS were added to 70.5 ml of RPMI-1640) in order to obtain a range of EPO concentrations from 1 to 10 U/ml. The 150 ul solution of EPO in each well received  $5 \times 10^5$  TF-1 cells (starved for 24h, i.e. grown for 24h without addition of GM-CSF, the usual cell growth support for this cell line) and the plate was incubated for 18h at 37°C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. The growth stimulation was then quantified using [<sup>3</sup>H]-Thymidine incorporation. [<sup>3</sup>H]-Thymidine stock (Amersham - TRK120 - batch: B395) was 100 fold diluted and 50 ul of this solution were added to each well. The plate was further incubated for 4h at 37°C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. The cells were harvested onto a glass filter (Wallac, size 90x120mm), the filter was dried for 2h at 75°C and the dried filter was transferred to a bag (Wallac, size 90x120mm) containing 5 ml of scintillation liquid (Wallac, Betaplate Scint.). the beta emission was quantified using a beta counter (Wallac, 1450 Microbeta plus).

20

The data were background subtracted and CPM-background were plotted against EPO concentration (as U/ml, i.e. without adjusting for loss of native activity; Figure 12). There was no significant loss of bioactivity.

25

5 ul of EPO (Cilag) at 3200 U/ml in PBS were mixed with 29 ul of an MPEG-Cl solution at circa 250 mg/ml and 61 ul of sterile PBS (Gibco) in a sterile eppendorf tube. The MPEG-Cl was prepared as in Example 5. Sham treated control EPO was also prepared using MPEG-5K obtained from Union Carbide. The reaction mixture incubated using a rotary mixer for 2 h at room

temperature. 705 ul of RPMI-1640 medium (containing 10% of heat inactivated Foetal Calf Serum, Life Technologies) were then added to 95 ul of reaction mixture to obtain a solution of EPO at 20 U/ml. The bioactivity of the samples was tested as described for Figure 12.

5

This experiment was repeated 3 times. The data were background subtracted and CPM-Background were plotted against EPO concentration (U/ml) (Figure 13a-c). At low doses of the reaction products, the dose response curves were superimposable, but at higher doses in two experiments there was progressive departure between the test and control curves. This indicates the presence of some toxic or inhibitory material (the EPO assay is particularly sensitive to inhibition, much more so than the GM-CSF assay). The level of toxicity observed is lower than for several other PEGylation procedures previously examined (cf. the cyanuric chloride method and the phenylchloroformate method (*Francis, G.E. et al (1996) J. Drug Targeting* 3 321-340)). The superimposition of the upward part of the test and control dose response curves at low doses of test material indicates no significant loss of bioactivity.

20 **Example 9 and comparative Example 9: Breakdown of MPEG-Cl and TMPEG in aqueous solution.**

One advantage of the present invention is the relative stability of the activated polymer.

25

Figure 14 shows the effect for MPEG-Cl and Figure 15 a-c shows the breakdown of three TMPEG samples.

Figures 14 and 15a-c compare the breakdown rates at pH 7 for MPEG-Cl made by the method of example 1 and three TMPEG samples made by different manufacturing techniques.

5 The release of acid and of fluoride from samples of activated MPEGs at pH 7 and also at pH 9 were measured in a pH-stat (Mettler Toledo DL 77 titrator) fitted with a fluoride electrode (Mettler Toledo DX 219) and pH electrode (Mettler Toledo DG101-SC). 25 ml of 0.9% NaCl was adjusted to pH 7 or 9 with approximately 0.01 M NaOH (standardised by potassium  
10 hydrogen phthalate titration). MPEG-Cl or TMPEG (approx 100mg; approx 20 umoles) was added to the saline and simultaneous measurements of fluoride concentration and alkali consumed were made at 20 second intervals for up to 60 min. Results are plotted as umoles of fluoride present and acid produced as a function of time. Samples of activated polymers  
15 dissolved in the NaCl required NaOH to bring to the starting pH, indicating that they were not neutral but were somewhat acidic. This immediate uptake of alkali was then followed by a steady uptake as acid was released progressively, and is seen as an intercept at zero time in the plot of alkali uptake against time. This value can be subtracted to provide a plot of acid  
20 release at the selected pH.

The changes in fluoride in Figure 14 are an artefact of the impact of OH ions on the fluoride electrode.

25 Figure 15a shows a sample of TMPEG prepared as previously described [WO 95/06058] with very low fluoride elimination. Note that its acid elimination is substantially higher than that of the MPEG-Cl sample. Figures 15b and c show two samples of TMPEG (obtained from Sigma and Shearwater Polymers Inc) made by different manufacturing procedures and


exhibiting higher fluoride elimination. A combination of hydrolysis to MPEG and trifluoroethanesulphonic acid and fluoride elimination thus produces substantially faster breakdown of TMPEG versus MPEG-Cl. Note that MPEG-Cl left in water for 17 days still retained good reactivity (see above), implying  
5 that the acid elimination rates at acidic pH is even lower than that at pH 7.

Figures 16 and 17 show comparable break down rates for MPEG-Cl and TMPEG at pH 9.0.

CLAIMS

1. A process for the PEGylation of a substrate, comprising the reaction of  
5 a halogenated PEG with the substrate and the PEG is bound directly to  
the substrate, with the proviso that the substrate is not a steroid or  
when the halogenated PEG is PEG-bromide the substrate is not 5-  
fluorouracil.

10 2. A process according to claim 1 wherein the halogenated PEG is of  
general formula I or II



wherein:

15

PEG is a bivalent group of formula  $-(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_m\text{-CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{-}$ ,

where m is equal or greater than 1, derived from a polyethylene  
glycol; X is a halogen atom, a blocking group or an activating group  
capable of coupling the PEG moiety to another moiety; Y is a halogen;  
20 n is the number of PEG termini; n is equal or greater than 2; i is  
equal or less than n and i/n PEG termini are substituted by Y in  
compounds of Formula II.

25

3. A process according to claim 2 wherein Y is Cl, Br or I.

4. A process according to either of claims 2 and 3 wherein X is a  
blocking group and is methyl, t-butyl or benzyl ether.

5. A process according to either of claims 2 and 3, wherein X is a tresyl activating group.
6. A process according either of claims 1 and 2, wherein the halogenated  
5 PEG is one of; methoxy-PEG-Cl, methoxy-PEG-Br, methoxy-PEG-I,  
Cl-PEG-Cl, Br-PEG-Br or I-PEG-I.
7. A process according to either of claims 1 and 2 wherein the substrate is  
10 selected from proteins, peptides, DNA, RNA, nucleotides, nucleotide  
analogues, hormones other than steroids, antibiotics, liposomes,  
viruses, unicellular organisms, micelles, metallic plastic, or polymeric  
surfaces.
8. A product produced by a process according to any of claims 1 to 7.  
15
9. A product according to claim 8, for use in medical therapy.
10. A pharmaceutical formulation comprising a product according to  
20 claim 8.
11. The use of a product according to claim 9 or a formulation according to  
claim 10, in the manufacture of a medicament for use in medical  
therapy.
12. A process according to claim 1, a product according to claim 8, a  
25 formulation according to claim 10 or a use according to claim 11,  
substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to the  
accompanying Figures and Examples.



13. A reagent comprising MPEG-halide obtainable by the reaction of MPEG with tresylhalide wherein at least a part of any TMPEG produced in the reaction is removed.






FIGURE 1

Figure 2





Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6




FIGURE 7






Figure 8




Figure 9




Figure 10




FIGURE 11




FIGURE 12




FIGURE 13




Figure 14




Figure 15






Figure 16





Figure 17



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB 98/00253

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6 A61K47/48

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 A61K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 95 06058 A (ROYAL FREE HOSP SCHOOL MED ;FRANCIS GILLIAN ELIZABETH (GB); FISHER) 2 March 1995 see abstract; claims 8-11,15-18,22	1-13
X	FRANCIS G E ET AL: "POLYETHYLENE GLYCOL MODIFICATION: RELEVANCE OF IMPROVED METHODOLOGY TO TUMOUR TARGETING" JOURNAL OF DRUG TARGETING, vol. 3, 1996, pages 321-340, XP002058312 see page 323, column 2 - page 324, column 1 see page 325; figures 1-3 see table 1	1-13
A	---	
	---	
	-/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

### \* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

18 June 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

15. 07. 1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Gonzalez Ramon, N

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/GB 98/00253

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	DELGADO C. ET AL: "Polymer derivatized proteins: analytical and preparative problems" PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES, vol. 3, 1997, pages 59-66, XP002068522 see page 60, column 2; figures 1,2,4 ---	1-13
X	WO 90 04650 A (ROYAL FREE HOSP SCHOOL MED) 3 May 1990 see page 7-8; figure 1 ---	1,2,4,5, 7-13
X	ZALIPSKY S: "CHEMISTRY OF POLYETHYLENE GLYCOL CONJUGATES WITH BIOLOGICALLY ACTIVE MOLECULES" ADVANCED DRUG DELIVERY REVIEWS, vol. 16, no. 2/03, 1995, pages 157-182, XP002037428 see page 161, column 2, paragraph 3; figure 2; tables 1,3,4 see page 176 - page 177 ---	1,2,4,5, 7-13
X	ZALIPSKY S.: "Functionalized poly(ethylene glycol) for preparation of biologically relevant conjugates" BIOCONJUGATE CHEM., vol. 6, no. 2, 1995, pages 150-165, XP002068523 cited in the application see page 151, column 1, paragraph 3; figure 1; table 2 ---	1-3,6-13
X	WO 95 34326 A (KOHNO TADAHIKO ;KACHENSKY DAVE (US); HARRIS MILTON (US)) 21 December 1995 see page 16, line 2-8 see page 19, line 1-7; example 1 ---	1-13
X	EP 0 539 167 A (ORTHO PHARMA CORP) 28 April 1993 see page 5, line 56 - page 6, line 2; examples 2,6 see page 10, line 1-25; claim 21 ---	1,2,4,5, 7-13
A	VERONESE F. M. ET AL: "A comparative study of enzymatic, structural and pharmacokinetic properties of superoxide dismutase isolated from two sources and modified by monomethoxypolyethylene glycol using different methods of coupling" ANNALS N. YORK ACAD. SCI., vol. 613, 1990, NEW YORK, pages 468-474, XP002068524 see page 469, paragraph 3; figure 1; tables 1,2 ---	1-13
	-/--	

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internatio. . . Application No  
PCT/GB 98/00253

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	GAERTNER H F ET AL: "SITE-SPECIFIC ATTACHMENT OF FUNCTIONALIZED POLY(ETHYLENE GLYCOL) TOTHE AMINO TERMINUS OF PROTEINS" BIOCONJUGATE CHEMISTRY, vol. 7, no. 1, 1996, pages 38-44, XP000646874 see abstract; figure 1 -----	1-13

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/GB 98/00253

### Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☒ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  
  
Although claims 9-12 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
2. ☒ Claims Nos.: 1,2,7-12  
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:  
  
see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

### Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.

☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No. PCT/GB 98/00253

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/SA/ 210

Claims Nos.: 1,2,7-12

In view of the large number of compounds, which are defined by the general definition in the independent claims, the search had to be restricted for economic reasons. The search was limited to the compounds for which pharmacological data was given and/or the compounds mentioned in the claims, and to the general idea underlying the application. (see Guidelines, Chapter III, paragraph 2.3).

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Internati . Application No

PCT/GB 98/00253

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9506058 A	02-03-1995	EP 0714402 A JP 9504515 T	05-06-1996 06-05-1997
WO 9004650 A	03-05-1990	EP 0439502 A JP 4501356 T	07-08-1991 12-03-1992
WO 9534326 A	21-12-1995	AU 2828695 A BG 101095 A BR 9507999 A CA 2191971 A CZ 9603576 A EP 0758906 A FI 964985 A NO 965342 A PL 317894 A SK 159596 A	05-01-1996 30-09-1997 12-08-1997 21-12-1995 12-03-1997 26-02-1997 16-12-1996 14-02-1997 28-04-1997 06-08-1997
EP 0539167 A	28-04-1993	AU 668841 B AU 1168495 A AU 658231 B AU 2718392 A CA 2080891 A FI 924747 A JP 5214092 A NO 971085 A NZ 244778 A ZA 9208099 A	16-05-1996 01-06-1995 06-04-1995 22-04-1993 22-04-1993 22-04-1993 24-08-1993 22-04-1993 25-03-1994 20-04-1994



**Lisa Becker**

---

**From:** Shirley Strassburg  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 07, 2006 9:28 AM  
**To:** Lisa Becker  
**Subject:** FW: PATTSY Reminder: 14414-003CA1 - FOREIGN ART - 11/10/2006

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Pattsy Admin FR.com  
**Sent:** Monday, November 06, 2006 5:26 AM  
**To:** Judith Witzel  
**Cc:** Shirley Strassburg  
**Subject:** PATTSY Reminder: 14414-003CA1 - FOREIGN ART -- 11/10/2006

**Due Date:** 11/10/2006  
**Current Extn:** 1    **Extns Available:** NONE  
**Reference#:** 14414-003CA1  
**Action:** FOREIGN ART  
**Client:** 14414 - LUMERA CORPORATION  
**Title:** SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR OPTICAL CHROMOPHORES AND ELECTRO-OPTIC DEVICES  
**THEREFROM**  
**Country:** CANADA  
**Attorneys:** DPW/DPW/STS/DPW  
**Notes:** REFS CITED IN 003WO1 SEARCH REPORT MAILED 5/10/05; EXT PER LXB;

Please reply directly to the sender (i.e., PATTSY\_Admin@fr.com). [TCF]

**Lisa Becker**

---

**From:** Shirley Strassburg  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 07, 2006 10:20 AM  
**To:** Lisa Becker  
**Subject:** FW: PATTSY Reminder: 09736-266CA1 - FOREIGN/PRIOR ART - 11/11/2006

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Pattsy Admin FR.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 07, 2006 5:30 AM  
**To:** Liz Kaytor  
**Cc:** Jill Wright; Patrick Finn; Judith Wasilkus; Richard Anderson; Shirley Strassburg  
**Subject:** PATTSY Reminder: 09736-266CA1 - FOREIGN/PRIOR ART - 11/11/2006

**Due Date:** 11/11/2006  
**Current Extn:** 0    **Extns Available:** NONE  
**Reference#:** 09736-266CA1  
**Action:** FOREIGN/PRIOR ART  
**Client:** 09736 - MENTOR CORPORATION  
**Title:** PROCESS FOR CROSS-LINKING HYALURONIC ACID TO POLYMERS  
**Country:** CANADA  
**Attorneys:** RJA/JXF/STS/ENK  
**Notes:** REFS CITED IN 266002 FINAL OA MAILED 10/11/06;

Please reply directly to the sender (i.e., PATTSY\_Admin@fr.com). [TCF]